

ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE LINKING DIETARY CARBOHYDRATE AND FAT PROPORTIONS WITH BODY WEIGHT AND INSULIN RESISTANCE

Mousa Numan Ahmad¹ & Bushra O. Al-Badarein²

¹Professor, Department of Clinical Nutrition, Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

²Research Scholar, Department of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan

ABSTRACT

Long term success in weight loss with dietary interventions has been elusive. Diets with different macronutrient composition have been popularized without detailed evidence of their intake, efficacy and safety. This article aimed at evaluating documented change in body weight and blood glucose and insulin levels in human and animal studies using different macronutrient composition, and suggesting future avenues of investigation. Following no date restrictions, Science Direct, MEDLINE and PubMed databases were thoroughly searched for randomized controlled trials that assigned human adults and animals to low-carbohydrate or low-fat diets regardless their composition and names, with ≥ 3 weeks of follow-up for animal studies and with ≥ 2 weeks of follow-up for human studies. The primary outcome was body weight, whereas the secondary outcomes were blood glucose and insulin. A total of 20 studies met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In overall analyses, low-carbohydrate hypocaloric diets were as effective as low-fat diets in achieving significant body weight loss. Both types of diets were associated with comparable effects on insulin sensitivity. High fat diets have inverse effects on insulin and body fat in animal models. Randomized controlled human research examining direct clinical and longitudinal effects of these diets on body weight and key markers of insulin resistance is required.

KEYWORDS: Blood Glucose, Insulin Resistance, Low-Carbohydrate Diets, Low-Fat Diets, Body Weight Loss

Article History

Received: 10 Oct 2019 | Revised: 18 Oct 2019 | Accepted: 31 Oct 2019

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of obesity, insulin resistance and noninsulin- dependent diabetes mellitus is rapidly increasing worldwide, with major consequences for community health and demand for medical care (WHO, 2015; Ahmad and Haddad, 2015). This rapid change indicates that environmental, immunological factors, in addition to genetic disposition interact in the development of these conditions (Grundy *et al.*, 2004). Lifestyles changes particularly dietary macronutrient composition and patterns and are thought to be the primary reason behind these problems (Vessby, 2000; Lee *et al.*, 2009; Ahmad, 2017). In this regard, low carbohydrate diets (LCD) have become popular as an aid to weight loss strategy (Volek *et al.*, 2008 and 2009; Sato *et al.*, 2017). Studies investigating the classical low fat diets (LFD) have repeatedly appeared in the literature and are the subject of increasing public interest due to its beneficial effects on the cardiovascular risks and weight loss in animals (Kraegen *et al.*, 1991; Takahashi *et al.*, 1999; Clegg *et al.*, 2011; Ruth *et al.*, 2013) and humans (Golay *et al.*, 1996; Veech, 2004; Ruth *et al.*, 2013; Watson *et al.*, 2016).

A number of systematic and meta-analyses reviews have been conducted comparing the effects of carbohydrate restricted diets (CRD) to high carbohydrate diets (HCD) on glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in people with diabetes, but the results remain variable and inconclusive (Kirk *et al.*, 2008; Kodama *et al.*, 2009; Castaneda-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011; Ajala *et al.*, 2013). Key limitations of these reviews include the small sample size often with poor diet adherence and relatively high dropout rates and short duration of included studies (< 6 weeks) and exclusion of studies with healthy individuals (Kirk *et al.*, 2008; Kodama *et al.*, 2009) as well as inclusion of non-randomized controlled trials (Castaneda-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011; Ajala *et al.*, 2013). Variations in the definition of CRD further confuse the evidence, with some reviews capturing a more moderate carbohydrate intake of 40% of total energy as a representative criterion (Kodama *et al.*, 2009), while others investigating a more severe restriction of 50–70 g per day (Castaneda-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011; Bueno *et al.*, 2013). A principal consideration when evaluating the efficacy of CRD is the effect on weight loss. In essence, the above reviews did not account for this matter in their interpretation and discussion. However, two other reviews emphasizing randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have attempted to control for the confounding effects of weight loss, but the evidence remains variable and inconsistent (Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). In the light of these limitations, an update of the evidence is warranted in order to determine the optimal dietary approach for glycemic control in healthy individuals.

Although results of studies investigating LCD are still controversial, they have continued to show effectiveness and compliance (Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). At the same time, the general failure of LFD paradigm to meet expectations indicates the need for reevaluation of the role for reduction in dietary carbohydrate (Ruth *et al.*, 2013; Ajala *et al.*, 2013; Bueno *et al.*, 2013; Watson *et al.*, 2016). The current issue seems to be whether we must wait for long-term randomized controlled trials or whether we should evaluate all the relevant information. For the present discussion, we will take environmental factors as the precipitating agents, the processing of nutrients in the body as the host factors, and weight change, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance as the outcome variables. Thus, the aim of the present article is to focus on RCTs utilizing different proportions of LCD compared with different proportions of LFD, examine the outcomes of such trials in relation to effects on weight reduction, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance in human and animals, and to suggest future avenues of investigation.

METHODOLOGY

Search Strategy

An up-to-date literature review for RCTs was conducted. The following databases were searched until April 2019: MEDLINE, PubMed and Science Direct. The search was performed using the following keywords or their combinations: low carbohydrate diets (dietary interventions), weight loss (primary outcomes) and insulin resistance (secondary outcomes). Key terms aimed to improve the sensitivity of the search for RCTs were also used (Robinson and Dickersin, 2002). The search was not restricted to any particular years of publication, but was limited to English publications.

Eligibility Criteria

Only RCTs that met the following criteria were included. The study participants were healthy individuals, older than 18 years (or adult rats or mice for animal studies) and with mean body mass index (BMI) greater than 25 kg/m² who were assigned to LFD; i.e. diets with less than 30% of energy from fat or to LCD; i.e. diets with less than 30% of energy from carbohydrates, with the follow-up period was 3 weeks or more. The present analysis aimed to evaluate the differences in the outcomes of the prescribed diets without addressing the individual adherence to these diets. There were no restrictions

based on sex or race. At a minimum, studies must have assessed weight loss as an outcome and must have reported mean values or the differences between the mean values. Studies with concomitant pharmacological interventions were excluded.

Data Extraction

The authors of this paper independently reviewed articles meeting inclusion criteria and abstracted data. The primary outcome sought in the studies was the change between the baseline body weight and the final body weight (kg). The secondary outcomes were the changes between the baseline and final values of fasting blood glucose and insulin. All the necessary information was extracted from the published articles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Standard Diet Definition

Generally, there is a lack of agreement on standard definitions for the term LCD, a matter that accounts for a great barrier to scientific communication (Bradley *et al.*, 2009; Clegg *et al.*, 2011; Ruth *et al.*, 2013; Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). In this article, to eliminate ambiguity, we used LCD definitions based on its use in multiple publications which are summarized by Accurso *et al.* (2008). Very low carbohydrate ketogenic diet (VLCKD): 20–50 g carbohydrate/ day or < 10% of total energy; LCD: < 130 carbohydrate g/ day or < 26% of total energy; the American Dietetic Association (ADA) definition: 130 g carbohydrate/ day as minimum recommendations; moderate carbohydrate diet (MCD): carbohydrate 26%–45% of total energy; and HCD: carbohydrate > 45% of total energy as a recommended target by the ADA. It is important to recognize that the levels of carbohydrate tolerance vary between individuals and even in one person over time. For example, VLCKD is defined as comprised of 20 –50 g carbohydrate /day, but because of individual variability, ketosis (blood ketone bodies > 0.5 mM) may not occur (Feinman *et al.*, 2015). The criteria for classification of high fat diet (HFD) being > 45% and ≤ 35% for LFD. For the fat group, the threshold for high fat group was > 138 g fat/day for men and > 102 g fat/ day for women, and for the low fat group, the threshold was < 85 g fat/ day for men and < 70 g fat/ day for women (Macdiarmid *et al.*, 1996).

Evidence from Animals Studies

Due to recent renewed interest in LCD, the role of different macronutrients in development of obesity and diabetes-related traits continues to be debated (Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). In fact, it is very difficult to conduct the long-term human intervention trials with tightly controlled macronutrient intake because of problems with compliance and adherence to such diets. Animal studies using well established models allow precise control and recording of dietary components and intakes. Table 1 presents study design, diet macronutrients composition and main findings of the animal studies that met the eligibility criteria.

In the studies shown in Table 1, defined semi-synthetic diets were used to explore not only the role of fat but also the interaction of dietary macronutrients in the development of obesity and glucose homeostasis in animal models (Kraegen *et al.*, 1991; Chen *et al.*, 1992; Takahashi *et al.*, 1999; Klaus, 2005; Sumiyoshi *et al.*, 2006; Snitskaya *et al.*, 2007; Clegg *et al.*, 2011). There is a significant heterogeneity among these studies for variable study designs, different animal strains, fat types, and experimental duration as well as insulin intervention. Common results show that weight loss is significantly greater in HCD treatment group compared with HFD treatment group with different carbohydrate and fat proportions. There is also a trend towards the improvement in fasting plasma glucose and insulin sensitivity in HCD treatment group compared with HFD treatment group with different carbohydrate and fat proportions. This is surprising

when these results are compared with results of Layman *et al.* (2008), where there is a clear evidence of improvements in fasting glucose, postprandial glucose and insulin responses and HbA1c for animals on HFD treatment group. However, over 70 years ago, it has been described that rats fed HFD containing 70% energy as fat develop obesity and elevated basal and postprandial blood sugar values (Samuels *et al.*, 1942 and 1948).

Since dietary fat can be stored as triglyceride in the adipose tissue more efficiently than carbohydrate, rats tend to gain more weight after feeding HFD (Kiens *et al.*, 1987). However, it has been shown in rats that HFD can also enhance daily energy intake and weight gain at least in part via a mechanism that is unrelated to energy density (Warwick *et al.*, 2002). The mechanisms leading to the reported initial hyperphagia, almost completely after 3 weeks, with consumption of HFD and HCD are not clear. This hyperphagia can be attributed to increased

Table 1: Study Design, Diet Macronutrients Composition and Main Findings of the Reviewed Animal Studies

Reference	Animals		Diets	Macronutrients C/F/P (%)	Duration	Main findings
	n	Model				
Kraegen <i>et al.</i> (1991)	5-6 per group	Adult male Wistar rats	HFD HCD	20/59/21 69/10/21	3 weeks	By 3 weeks, HFD led to significant glucose intolerance. No information is given about body weight
Chen <i>et al.</i> (1992)	48	Male Sprague-Dawley rats	HFD GD SD	12/56.5/21.5 66.4/12.1/21.5 66.5/12/21.5	10 weeks	HFD caused 11% increase in body weight compared to GD or SD and 25% and 50% rise in fasting insulin compared to GD and SD respectively, with no change in plasma glucose
Takahashi <i>et al.</i> (1999)	5-6 per group	Female C57BL/6J mice	10 F 20 F 30 F 40 F 50 F 60 F	62.8/10.7/23.7 53.3/20.2/25.1 43.7/29.7/26.8 33.6/39.9/28.7 23.2/50.2/31.1 13.1/60.4/33.7	15 weeks	Body weight increase was larger for 20 F to 30 F and 50 F to 60 F. 40 F or more led to rise in total glucose after 12 weeks of feeding. 60% F showed greater insulin resistance than 10% F
Klaus, 2005	24	Adult male C57BL/6J mice	CD HCD LCD	41.1/17.2/41.7 41/43/16 11.5/43.6/45	10 weeks	Body weight and fat gains were rapid and were greater in HCD than in other groups. Blood glucose was lower and insulin sensitivity was greater in LCD mice than in HCD
Sumiyoshi <i>et al.</i> (2006)	NA	Male C57BL/6J mice	LFLSD HSD HFD	41.5/3/20 6.5/6/20 17.1/45/20	55 weeks	Compared to other groups, HFD caused greater body fat weights. HFD led to delay in glucose clearance compared to LFLSD. HSD induced hyperglycemia 10 min after oral glucose that was reversed by insulin
Sinitskaya <i>et al.</i> (2007)	47	Sprague-Dawley rats	HCD HFD1 HFD2 HFD3	64/12/24 30/53/17 9/67/24 0/75/25	10 weeks	BMI was higher in HFD1 and HFD2, but not in HFD3 compared to HCD. Blood glucose in HFD1, HFD2 and HFD3 was still greater than HCD indicating glucose intolerance. Only HFD1 led to insulin resistance
Clegg <i>et al.</i> (2011)	12 per group	Adult male Long-Evans rats	ChD LFD HFD	NA 75/11/14 45/41/14	10 weeks	LFD and ChD but not HFD decreased insulin, food intake and body weight. 72-h feeding of HFD reduced central insulin sensitivity independent of changes in body weight or adiposity

Abbreviations: C: Carbohydrate; F: Fat; P: Protein; CD: Control diet; ChD: Chow diet; GD: Glucose diet; SD: Starch diet; HCD: High carbohydrate diet; HFD: High fat diet; LCD: Low carbohydrate diet; LFD: Low fat diet; LFLSD: Low fat low sucrose diet; HSD: High sucrose diet.

Food palatability, possibly related to the distinct sensory properties of fat (Stubbs and Whybrow, 2004). The presented findings (Table 1) are inconsistent with this interpretation because the increased energy intake in rats fed HFD is consistent with the increased energy density of this diet. It has been suggested that energy density, rather than simply an increased percentage of dietary fat, is the actual predisposing factor for weight gain in animals (Prentice and Poppitt, 1996; Prentice, 1998). Distinction between energy density and fat percentage is important because in previous studies, when energy density is controlled, it appears that animal's food intake is regulated primarily by food volume (Rolls and Shide, 1994; Poppitt, 1995); thus, it is reasonable to assume the existence of common physiological mechanisms that underlie this active overfeeding. The increase in body fat in rats fed HFD is associated with higher levels of plasma leptin, a hormone

secreted by adipocytes and thought to signal metabolic status from the adipocytes to peripheral tissues and the brain (Ryan *et al.*, 2003). Levels of leptin secreted by adipocytes are positively correlated with amount of adipose tissue (Ryan *et al.*, 2003). The switch from HFD to HCD reduces body fat as well as levels of leptin while switching from HCD to HFD does not influence serum leptin levels even though body fat is significantly increased (Ryan *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, interleukin 6 levels in mice fed HFD is decreased after shift to HCD concomitantly with reduction in body weight (Lee *et al.*, 2009). On the other hand, shift from HCD to HFD significantly increases tumor necrosis factor- α concentration and body fat (Lee *et al.*, 2009).

Mechanisms for the development of insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in obese humans and animals are not clear, but considerable emphasis has been placed on abnormal regulation of fatty acid metabolism (Randle *et al.*, 1963; Frazee *et al.*, 1985; Groop *et al.*, 1989; Al-Jada and Ahmad, 2016). The inefficient intracellular fatty acid oxidation and accordingly increased intracellular fat deposition in insulin target organs is the possible mechanism of HFD induced insulin resistance (Kim *et al.*, 2003). It has been shown that five months of HFD feeding causes 58.7% decrease in the content of AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) indicating that such diet might affect AMPK expression (Liu *et al.*, 2006). The major biological effect of AMPK is to regulate the intracellular fatty acid oxidation via phosphorylating and inactivating Acetyl-CoA carboxylase (Rutter *et al.*, 2003). Besides affecting insulin sensitivity via regulating fatty acid oxidation, AMPK reportedly could also regulate glucose transporter 4 expressions (Jessen *et al.*, 2003).

Insulin injection into the central nervous system produces anorexia and weight loss; however, peripheral insulin administration, a more relevant model of insulin's whole body actions, typically promotes fat deposition, increases hunger, and causes weight gain (Vanderweele *et al.*, 1982; Cusin *et al.*, 1992). Even when energy-restricted diets (ERD) are used to prevent excessive weight gain, insulin-treated animals still developed excessive body fat, an effect that is consistent with that of insulin injection or with diets that intrinsically raise insulin secretion (Torbay *et al.*, 1985). Rodents fed HCD vs. LCD manifest progressive abnormalities in this sequence of hyperinsulinemia, increased adipocyte diameter, greater adiposity, lower energy expenditure and increased hunger (Kabir *et al.*, 1998; Lerer-Metzger *et al.*, 1996 and Pawlak *et al.*, 2004). Analogous to the insulin administration studies, the use of ERD to prevent excessive weight gain in animals on HCD does not prevent excessive adiposity, findings for which the conventional model has no explanation (Pawlak *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, energy expenditure increased and weight decreased among mice consuming very low carbohydrate diet (VLCD) vs. standard diet (SD) despite no difference in food intake, suggesting the existence of a unique metabolic state congruous with weight loss (Kennedy *et al.*, 2007).

Induction of energy expenditure based on fatty acid oxidation in the white adipose tissue (WAT) may reduce adiposity (Flachs *et al.*, 2013). Mitochondrial biogenesis and thermogenesis are decreased in WAT of obese individuals and rodents (Böttcher and Fürst, 1997; Valerio *et al.*, 2006; Flachs *et al.*, 2013), while induction of mitochondrial activation of fatty acid oxidation are observed in WAT under conditions promoting loss of adiposity (Flachs *et al.*, 2013). It has been suggested that in the obese state, WAT mitochondria cannot cope with increasing demands for fatty acid oxidation, resulting in incomplete beta-oxidation (Kusminski and Scherer, 2012). HFD down-regulates the expression of genes involved in fatty acid catabolism and oxidation, as well as genes controlling the mitochondrial energy transduction pathways, including the Krebs cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (Kusminski and Scherer, 2012). Nutritional genomics studies have analyzed the responses of tissues to different diets and nutrients in order to provide an insight into the molecular events underlying diet-induced obesity (Hageman *et al.*, 2010). However, obesity-related metabolic and molecular changes in response to HFD vs. LFD are not yet fully understood. Moreover, the HFD used in most animal

studies of diet-induced obesity contains an extremely high fat content, ~ 60 % of energy, that does not mimic the moderate fat content of the Western human diet containing ~ 40–45 % of energy (Ruth *et al.*, 2013).

Evidence from Human Studies

Changes in the relative proportion of dietary fat and carbohydrate can have profound effects on various aspects of carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). This may modulate plasma levels of hormones and substrates that could have health related implications. Table 2 presents the study design, diet macronutrients composition and main findings of the human studies that met the eligibility criteria. These studies include healthy individuals fed controlled HFD and LFD for periods ranging from three weeks to one year. The main findings of these interventions indicate that VLCD diets cause significant improvements in whole body insulin sensitivity and body weight status with no differences between different dietary groups (Borkman *et al.*, 1991; Golay *et al.*, 1996; Brehm *et al.*, 2003; Meckling *et al.*, 2004; Volek *et al.*, 2004; Westerbacka *et al.*, 2005; Noakes *et al.*, 2006; Tay *et al.*, 2008; Bradley *et al.*, 2009; Brinkworth *et al.*, 2009; Volek *et al.*, 2009; Kirk *et al.*, 2009; Ruth *et al.*, 2013). Thus, the documented improvements in insulin sensitivity on HFD cannot be explained by changes in body weight. In contrast, some studies in healthy adults have shown differences between HFD and LFD in the body weight and insulin sensitivity (Watson *et al.*, 2016; Sato *et al.*, 2017). As reviewed in animal section, there is a substantial evidence of physiological mechanistic mechanisms to support the expectation that switching from HFD to LFD may cause a spontaneous weight loss (Ryan *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 2009; Flachs *et al.*, 2013).

In this article, the eligible RCTs actually show great variability and if a single negative study is picked out it may give a biased, unbalanced view. In these RCTs, differences in trial design and duration, inclusion criteria, methodology and type of dietary modifications undertaken are observed. In some trials, variabilities are indicated not only in type of fat, but also in relation between proportions of fat and carbohydrates. In other trials energy intake is varied during the test periods causing variations in body weight which may have influenced the results. This issue has created doubts about the effectiveness of LFD or HFD in prevention and treatment of overweight and obesity. Weight loss in both diet groups could result predominantly from reduced energy intake; however, there is a great variability in method of reducing energy intake. Participants in such trials may have restricted energy intake because of limited food choices, or HFD may have appetite suppressant properties (Arase *et al.*, 1988; Stubbs *et al.*, 2000). Other possible explanations for the discrepancy in weight loss between trials include loss of energy through ketonuria and the increased thermic effect of a high-protein diet (Johnston *et al.*, 2002). A study in which food intake is rigorously controlled will better determine what factors contribute to weight loss from HFD. In essence, HFD group lost a greater amount of water in the first 2 weeks than did LFD group; this finding confirms anecdotal reports of diuresis with HFD (Yancy *et al.*, 2004). After the first 2 weeks, however, estimations of total body water are similar in HFD group and LFD group. Moreover, the changes in fat-free mass in both groups are largely explained by changes in total body water, but not by changes in lean tissue mass (Yancy *et al.*, 2004).

Table 2: Characteristics of the Reviewed Human Studies

Reference	Study Design	Subjects			Diets	Macronutrients		Duration	Main Findings
		n	Age (yrs)	BMI		C/F/P (%)			
Borkman <i>et al.</i> (1991)	CRTs	3 M; 5 W	37 ± 3	24 ± 1.6	HCD HFD	55.4/20.1/19.6 31/49.8/13.5	3 weeks	Weight loss occurred in both groups, with more loss for HCD. Glucose and insulin were unchanged	
Raaven (1996)	CRTs	43 obese	41-45 ± 18	38-41 ± 9	15 C 45 C	15/53/32 45/26/29	6 weeks	15% C caused more weight loss and decreased glucose and insulin than 45% C	
Brehm <i>et al.</i> (2003)	RCTs	42 obese W	43 - 44 ± 8.56	33.6 ± 0.3	LFD VLCD	53/29/18 30/46/23	6 weeks	VLCD led to more weight than LFD. Glucose and insulin were unaffected	
Meckling <i>et al.</i> (2004)	RCTs	10 M; 30 W	41.2 - 43.2	32.2 ± 1.5	BLD LFD LCD	61.9/17.8/19.5 50/35.6/15.4 15.4/55.5/26.2	10 weeks	LFD and LCD caused fat and weight loss, and LCD decreased plasma insulin	
Volek <i>et al.</i> (2004)	RCTs	30 W	34.0 ± 8.6	29.6 ± 4.0	VLCD LFD	10/60/30 55/25/20	4 weeks	Fasting glucose, insulin, and insulin resistance were lowered with VLCD	
Westerbacka <i>et al.</i> (2005)	RCO	10 W	43 ± 5	33 ± 4	LFD HFD	61/16/19 31/56/13	2 weeks	Fasting insulin decreased with LFD and increased with HFD	
Noakes <i>et al.</i> (2006)	RCTs	83 with at least 1 CVD Risk factor	48 ± 8	33 ± 3	VLFD HUF VLCD	70/10/20 50/30/20 4/61/35	12 weeks	Weight loss was not affected. VLCD lowered fasting insulin more than HUF with no change for VLFD. All diets decreased glucose	
Tay <i>et al.</i> (2008)	RCTs	88 M; W visceral obese	50- 51 ± 8.4	33 ± 4.3	HCLFD VLCHFD	46/30/24 4/61/35	24 weeks	Weight loss was similar in both groups. Fasting glucose, HOMA - IR and insulin were all reduced along with weight loss	
Bradley <i>et al.</i> (2009)	RCTs	27 M; W	39 ± 10	33.6 ± 3.7	LFD LCD	60/20/20 20/60/20	8 weeks	Weight loss occurred in both groups with no differences. Changes in fasting glucose, insulin and HbA1c were not different	
Brinkworth <i>et al.</i> (2009)	RCTs	69 M; W visceral obese	51.5 ± 7.7	33 ± 4.0	LFD VLC	46/30/24 4/61/35	1 year	Both diets, LFD and VLC, led to similar weight loss and decrease in fasting glucose, insulin, and HOMA-IR independently of diet composition or sex	
Volek <i>et al.</i> (2009)◊	RCTs◊	40♂ M; W◊	32.6-36.9 ± 12.5◊	32.1-33.5 ± 5.2◊	CRD♂ LFD◊	12/59/28♂ 56/24/20◊	12♂ weeks◊	CRD caused weight loss and improved glucose, insulin, and insulin sensitivity◊	
Kirk <i>et al.</i> (2009)◊	RCTs◊	4 M;♂ 18 W◊	43.6 ± 2.5◊	36.5 ± 0.8◊	HCD♂ LCD◊	65/20/15♂ 10/75/15◊	11 weeks◊	Both diets led to similar weight loss and decrease in fasting glucose, insulin, and HOMA-IR◊	
Ruth <i>et al.</i> (2013)◊	RCTs◊	55♂ Obese◊	41.5-43.5 ± 12.8◊	35.9-37.1 ± 4.8◊	HCLFD♂ HFLCD◊	55.7/25/22♂ 9.6/60/33.5◊	12 weeks◊	Changes in body weight, HbA1c, fasting insulin and glucose did not differ between diets◊	

Abbreviations: C: Carbohydrate; F: Fat; P:Protein; M: Men; W: Women; BMI: Body mass index; BLD: Baseline diet; CRD: Carbohydrate reduced diet; HCD: High carbohydrate diet; HCLFD: High carbohydrate low fat diet; HFD: High fat diet; HFLCD: High fat low carbohydrate diet; HUF: High unsaturated fat diet; LCD: Low carbohydrate diet; LFD: Low fat diet; VLCD: Very low carbohydrate diet; VLFD: Very low fat diet; VLCHFD: Very low carbohydrate high fat diet; HOMA-IR: Homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance; HbA1c: Glycosylated hemoglobin.

In the RCTs included in this review, carbohydrate is not restricted sufficiently to induce ketogenesis, and carbohydrate intake is maintained constant throughout the studies. Hence, the possibility that a large study sample may have realized a statistically significant difference in weight loss between diets cannot be entirely dismissed. Several comparisons of isocaloric VLCD and HCD show greater weight loss on VLCD (Rabast *et al.*, 1979; Volek & Westman, 2002). Although, the origin of the difference in weight loss between VLCD and HCD remains controversial, such a response clearly does not violate any thermodynamic laws (Feinman and Fine, 2003). Not all studies have shown greater body weight loss with VLCD (Meckling *et al.*, 2004) and specific conditions that are required to elicit metabolic advantages remain unknown. There is a consistent trend across weight loss groups toward a greater increase in insulin sensitivity in the LCD group, although these changes are small and are not significant within each group. Although, greater weight loss could not entirely account for the greater increase in insulin sensitivity in LCD group, one cannot definitively

conclude that CRD alone accounts for this independent effect. Other uncontrolled variables such as types of carbohydrates selected such as proportion of complex carbohydrates or the ratio of carbohydrate to fiber or other unknown variables may have contributed to this effect. Inclusion of subjects with obesity related medical conditions may introduce additional variabilities, a matter that undermines results generalizability. Imprecise measurement and confounding are possible alternative explanations for some of the reported results. It is possible that if larger sample sizes are studied, differences in body weight or fasting plasma glucose or insulin may reach statistical significance. Although effects of LFD on body weight in short term studies are modest, these could potentially be important if they are made cumulative over periods of years. Thus, long term studies are critical. The small weight losses observed in some intervention trials can partly be attributed to low adherence to diet composition. Most trials using the *ad libitum* principle have instructed subjects how to make dietary changes, but have not ensured that subjects actually should consume diets with prescribed composition. Possible differences in fat quality may have played a role, but there are no published human data to support those differences in fat types influence the satiating effect of diets. On the other hand, inaccurate reporting of dietary intake or errors in nutrient databases such as overestimation of calories from certain foods or food groups may account for the greater weight reducing effects of diets. Moreover, in RCTs, it is important to take account for attrition rates which may lead to weaker the statistical powers of these trials.

No meaningful differences between LFD and LCD have been reported in some meta-analyses reviews (Hall, 2017; Hall and Guo, 2017). However, these analyses articles include very short duration studies of almost 2 weeks and suffer from major methodological flaws that preclude a definitive finding. Most importantly, no account is taken for physiological processes involved in adaptation to LCD over time confounding transient with chronic effects. On conventional HCD, the brain is critically dependent on glucose, requiring more than 100 g/day. With severe carbohydrate restriction, the body must initially break down protein from lean tissue for conversion into glucose. However, this catabolic response is only temporary as, over time, concentrations of hepatic ketones that are produced from free fatty acids increases markedly replacing glucose as the primary fuel for the brain. For this reason, the hallmark of VLCD in prolonged fasting is development of nutritional ketosis or as known as ketogenic states. Studies of human starvation provide insights into the time course of fat adaptation. Total ketones concentrations including β -hydroxybutyric acid, acetoacetic acid and acetone rise progressively for first 10 days reaching steady state only after about 3 weeks of fasting (Owen *et al.*, 1983). It has been documented that urinary excretion of ketones also rises throughout 10 days on VLCD, but at slower rates than during fasting (Yang *et al.*, 1976). Nitrogen balance has been shown to be more negative on hypocaloric ketogenic diets compared with non-ketogenic diets for about 3 weeks (Vazquez *et al.*, 1992). Thus, the process of fat adaptation requires at least 2 –3 weeks, and perhaps longer. In fact, studies with shorter duration have no bearing on chronic effects of macronutrients on body weight homeostasis and related biomarkers.

CONCLUSIONS

One of the major unresolved issues in the field of nutrition concerns the optimal intake of dietary fat relative to other macronutrients, particularly carbohydrates. Many investigators state that a high percentage of total energy consumed in the form of fat may lead to insulin resistance and may increase body weight. In this review, hypocaloric LCD seems to be as effective as LFD in achieving significant weight loss in human. Both diets are associated with comparable effects on insulin sensitivity. On the other hand, HFD have inverse effects on insulin and body fat in animal models. One possible reason is why animal experiments often do not translate into replications in human trials that many animal experiments are poorly designed, conducted and analyzed (Roberts *et al.*, 2002; Hackam and Redelmeier, 2006). Other possible

contributions to this issue are that animal research is methodologically inadequate in terms of animal species and strains with a variety of metabolic pathways and different models for inducing illness with varying similarity to human conditions; variations in diets and regimens of uncertain relevance to human; variability in methods of randomization, choice of comparison therapy such as none, placebo or vehicle; small experimental groups with inadequate statistical power; simple statistical analyses that do not account for confounding; variable duration of follow-up that may not correspond to disease latency in human (Pound *et al.*, 2004; Mignini and Khan, 2006). Well controlled animal studies considering these confounding factors are generally needed. It is important to consider individual differences regarding influence of diet composition on body weight regulation. Individual differences in fat compared with carbohydrate oxidation may underlie variations in fat storage during overfeeding of different types of diets. Randomized controlled human research examining direct clinical and longitudinal effects of various carbohydrate and fat diets on body weight homeostasis and related key biomarkers of insulin resistance is required.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at The University of Jordan for their financial support.

REFERENCES

1. Accurso, A., Bernstein, R. K., Dahlqvist, A., Draznin, B., Feinman, R. D., Fine, E. J., ... and Manninen, A. H. (2008). Dietary carbohydrate restriction in type 2 diabetes mellitus and metabolic syndrome: time for a critical appraisal. *Nutrition and Metabolism*, 5(1), 9. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186%2F1743-7075-5>
2. Ahmad, M.N. (2017). The effect of lentil on cholesterol-induced changes of serum lipid cardiovascular indexes in rats. *Progress in Nutrition*, 19(1):48–56. DOI: 10.2375/pn.v19i1.4856
3. Ahmad, M.N., and Haddad, F.H. (2015). Suitability of visceral adiposity index as a marker for cardio-metabolic risks in Jordanian adults. *Nutrición Hospitalaria*, 32(06): 2701–2709. DOI: 10.3305/nh.2015. 32.6.9543.
4. Ajala, O., English, P., and Pinkney, J. (2013). Systematic review and meta-analysis of different dietary approaches to the management of type 2 diabetes. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 97(3), 505–516. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.04245>
5. Al-Jada, D.N., Ahmad, M.N. (2016). Dietary fat and insulin resistance: a connection through leptin and PPAR γ activation. *Functional Foods in Health and Disease*, 6(6):306–328.
6. Arase, K. O., Fisler, J. S., Shargill, N. S., York, D. A., and Bray, G. A. (1988). Intra-cerebro-ventricular infusions of 3-OHB and insulin in a rat model of dietary obesity. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, 255(6), R974-R981. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1988.255.6.R97>
7. Borkman, M., Campell, L. V., Chisholm, D. J., and Storlien, L. H. (1991). Comparison of the effects on insulin sensitivity of high carbohydrate and high fat diets in normal subjects. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 72(2), 432–437. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-72-2-43>
8. Böttcher, H., and Fürst, P. (1997). Decreased white fat cell thermogenesis in obese individuals. *International Journal of Obesity*, 21(6), 439.

9. Bradley, U., Spence, M., Courtney, C. H., McKinley, M. C., Ennis, C. N., McCance, D. R.,... and Hunter, S. J. (2009). *Low-fat versus low-carbohydrate weight reduction diets: effects on weight loss, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular risk: a randomized control trial.* *Diabetes*, 58(12), 2741–2748. <https://doi.org/10.2337/db09-009>
10. Brehm, B. J., Seeley, R. J., Daniels, S. R., & D'Alessio, D. A. (2003). *A randomized trial comparing a very low carbohydrate diet and a calorie-restricted low fat diet on body weight and cardiovascular risk factors in healthy women.* *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 88(4), 1617–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2002-02148>
11. Brinkworth, G. D., Noakes, M., Buckley, J. D., Keogh, J. B., and Clifton, P. M. (2009). *Long-term effects of a very-low-carbohydrate weight loss diet compared with an isocaloric low-fat diet after 12 mo.* *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 90(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.2732>
12. Bueno, N. B., de Melo, I. S. V., de Oliveira, S. L., and da Rocha Ataide, T. (2013). *Very-low-carbohydrate ketogenic diet v. low-fat diet for long-term weight loss: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials.* *British Journal of Nutrition*, 110(7), 1178–1187. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000711451300054>
13. Castañeda-González, L. M., Gascon, M. B., and Cruz, A. J. (2011). *Effects of low carbohydrate diets on weight and glycemic control among type 2 diabetes individuals: a systemic review of RCT greater than 12 weeks.* *Nutricion Hospitalaria*, 26(6), 1270–1276 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0212-1611201100060001>
14. Chen, M. T., Kaufman, L. N., Spennetta, T., and Shrago, E. (1992). *Effects of high fat-feeding to rats on the interrelationship of body weight, plasma insulin, and fatty acyl-coenzyme A esters in liver and skeletal muscle.* *Metabolism*, 41(5), 564–569. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0026-0495\(92\)90221](https://doi.org/10.1016/0026-0495(92)90221)
15. Clegg, D. J., Gotoh, K., Kemp, C., Wortman, M. D., Benoit, S. C., Brown, L. M.,... and Woods, S. C. (2011). *Consumption of a high-fat diet induces central insulin resistance independent of adiposity.* *Physiology and Behavior*, 103(1), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.01.01>
16. Cusin, I., Rohner-Jeanrenaud, F., Terretaz, J., and Jeanrenaud, B. (1992). *Hyperinsulinemia and its impact on obesity and insulin resistance.* *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 16, S1–11.
17. Feinman, R. D., and Fine, E. J. (2003). *Thermodynamics and metabolic advantage of weight loss diets.* *Metabolic Syndrome and Related Disorders*, 1(3), 209–219. <https://doi.org/10.1089/15404190332271668>
18. Feinman, R. D., Pogozelski, W. K., Astrup, A., Bernstein, R. K., Fine, E. J., Westman, E. C.,... and Nielsen, J. V. (2015). *Dietary carbohydrate restriction as the first approach in diabetes management: critical review and evidence base.* *Nutrition*, 31(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2014.06.01>
19. Flachs, P., Rossmeisl, M., Kuda, O., and Kopecky, J. (2013). *Stimulation of mitochondrial oxidative capacity in white fat independent of UCP1: a key to lean phenotype.* *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta-Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*, 1831(5), 986–1003.
20. Frazee, E., Donner, C. C., Swislocki, A. L. M., Chiou, Y. A. M., Chen, Y. D. I., and Reaven, G. M. (1985). *Ambient plasma free fatty acid concentrations in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: evidence for insulin resistance.* *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 61(5), 807–811. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-61-5-80>

21. Golay, A., Allaz, A. F., Morel, Y., de Tonnac, N., Tankova, S., and Reaven, G. (1996). Similar weight loss with low-or high-carbohydrate diets. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 63(2), 174–178. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/63.2.17>
22. Groop, L. C., Bonadonna, R. C., DelPrato, S., Ratheiser, K., Zyck, K., Ferrannini, E., and DeFronzo, R. A. (1989). Glucose and free fatty acid metabolism in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Evidence for multiple sites of insulin resistance. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 84(1), 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI11414>
23. Grundy, S.M., Brewer, H.B.Jr., Coleman, J.R., Smith, S.C.Jr., and Lenfant, C. (2004). American Heart A, National Heart L, Blood I: Definition of metabolic syndrome: Report of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific issues related to definition. *Circulation*, 109(3): 433–8. DOI:10.1161/01.CIR.0000111245.75752.C6.
24. Hackam, D. G., and Redelmeier, D. A. (2006). Translation of research evidence from animals to humans. *JAMA*, 296(14), 1727–1732. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.296.14.173>
25. Hall, K. D. (2017). A review of the carbohydrate–insulin model of obesity. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 71(3), 323.
26. Hall, K. D., and Guo, J. (2017). Obesity energetics: body weight regulation and the effects of diet composition. *Gastroenterology*, 152(7), 1718–1727.
27. Hageman, R. S., Wagener, A., Hantschel, C., Svenson, K. L., Churchill, G. A., and Brockmann, G. A. (2010). High-fat diet leads to tissue-specific changes reflecting risk factors for diseases in DBA/2J mice. *Physiological Genomics*, 42(1), 55–66.
28. Jessen, N., Pold, R., Buhl, E. S., Jensen, L. S., Schmitz, O., and Lund, S. (2003). Effects of AICAR and exercise on insulin-stimulated glucose uptake, signaling, and GLUT-4 content in rat muscles. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 94(4), 1373–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00250.200>
29. Johnston, C. S., Day, C. S., and Swan, P. D. (2002). Postprandial thermogenesis is increased 100% on a high-protein, low-fat diet versus a high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet in healthy, young women. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 21(1), 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2002.1071919>
30. Kabir, M., Rizkalla, S. W., Champ, M., Luo, J., Boillot, J., Bruzzo, F., and Slama, G. (1998). Dietary amylose-amylopectin starch content affects glucose and lipid metabolism in adipocytes of normal and diabetic rats. *Journal of Nutrition*, 128(1), 35–43.
31. Kennedy, A. R., Pissios, P., Otu, H., Xue, B., Asakura, K., Furukawa, N.,... and Maratos-Flier, E. (2007). A high-fat, ketogenic diet induces a unique metabolic state in mice. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 292(6), E1724–E1739.
32. Kiens, B., Essen-Gustavsson, B., Gad, P., and Lithell, H. (1987). Lipoprotein lipase activity and intramuscular triglyceride stores after long-term high-fat and high-carbohydrate diets in physically trained men. *Clinical Physiology*, 7(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-097X.1987.tb00628>

33. Kim, C. H., Kim, M. S., Youn, J. Y., Park, H. S., Song, H. S., Song, K. H.,... and Lee, K. U. (2003). Lipolysis in skeletal muscle is decreased in high-fat-fed rats. *Metabolism*, 52(12), 1586–1592. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0495\(03\)00328](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0026-0495(03)00328)
34. Kirk, E., Reeds, D. N., Finck, B. N., Mayurranjan, M. S., Patterson, B. W., and Klein, S. (2009). Dietary fat and carbohydrates differentially alter insulin sensitivity during caloric restriction. *Gastroenterology*, 136(5), 1552–1560. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2009.01.04>
35. Kirk, J. K., Graves, D. E., Craven, T. E., Lipkin, E. W., Austin, M., and Margolis, K. L. (2008). Restricted-carbohydrate diets in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 108(1), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2007.10.00>
36. Klaus, S. (2005). Increasing the protein: carbohydrate ratio in a high-fat diet delays the development of adiposity and improves glucose homeostasis in mice. *Journal of Nutrition*, 135(8), 1854–1858. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/135.8.185>
37. Kodama, S., Saito, K., Tanaka, S., Maki, M., Yachi, Y., Sato, M.,... and Yamada, N. (2009). Influence of fat and carbohydrate proportions on the metabolic profile in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*, 32(5), 959–965. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc08-171>
38. Kraegen, E. W., Clark, P. W., Jenkins, A. B., Daley, E. A., Chisholm, D. J., and Storlien, L. H. (1991). Development of muscle insulin resistance after liver insulin resistance in high-fat-fed rats. *Diabetes*, 40(11), 1397–1403. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.40.11.139>
39. Kusminski, C. M., and Scherer, P. E. (2012). Mitochondrial dysfunction in white adipose tissue. *Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 23(9), 435–443.
40. Layman, D. K., Clifton, P., Gannon, M. C., Krauss, R. M., and Nuttall, F. Q. (2008). Protein in optimal health: heart disease and type 2 diabetes. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 87(5), 1571S–1575S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/87.5.1571>
41. Lee, I. S., Shin, G., and Choue, R. (2009). Shifts in diet from high fat to high carbohydrate improved levels of adipokines and pro-inflammatory cytokines in mice fed a high-fat diet. *Endocrine Journal*, 0910060342. <https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.k09e-04>
42. Lerer-Metzger, M., Rizkallal, S. W., Luo, J., Champ, M., Kabir, M., Bruzzo, F.,... and Slama, G. (1996). Effects of long-term low-glycaemic index starchy food on plasma glucose and lipid concentrations and adipose tissue cellularity in normal and diabetic rats. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 75(5), 723–732.
43. Liu, Y., Wan, Q., Guan, Q., Gao, L., and Zhao, J. (2006). High-fat diet feeding impairs both the expression and activity of AMPKa in rats' skeletal muscle. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 339(2), 701–707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2005.11.06>
44. Macdiarmid, J. I., Cade, J. E., and Blundell, J. E. (1996). High and low fat consumers, their macronutrient intake and body mass index: further analysis of the National Diet and Nutrition Survey of British Adults. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 50(8), 505–512.

45. Meckling, K. A., O'Sullivan, C., and Saari, D. (2004). Comparison of a low-fat diet to a low-carbohydrate diet on weight loss, body composition, and risk factors for diabetes and cardiovascular disease in free-living, overweight men and women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 89(6), 2717–2723. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2003-03160>
46. Mignini, L. E., and Khan, K. S. (2006). Methodological quality of systematic reviews of animal studies: a survey of reviews of basic research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 6(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-6>
47. Noakes, M., Foster, P. R., Keogh, J. B., James, A. P., Mamo, J. C., and Clifton, P. M. (2006). Comparison of isocaloric very low carbohydrate/high saturated fat and high carbohydrate/low saturated fat diets on body composition and cardiovascular risk. *Nutrition and Metabolism*, 3(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-7075-3>
48. Owen, O.E, Caprio, S, Reichard, G.A. Jr, Mozzoli, M.A., Boden, G., and Owen, R.S. (1983). Ketosis of starvation: a revisit and new perspectives. *Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 12(2):359–379.
49. Pawlak, D. B., Kushner, J. A., and Ludwig, D. S. (2004). Effects of dietary glycaemic index on adiposity, glucose homoeostasis, and plasma lipids in animals. *The Lancet*, 364(9436), 778–785.
50. Poppitt, S. D. (1995). Energy density of diets and obesity. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 19, S20–6.
51. Pound, P., Ebrahim, S., Sandercock, P., Bracken, M. B., and Roberts, I. (2004). Where is the evidence that animal research benefits humans? *BMJ*, 328(7438), 514–517. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.328.7438.51>
52. Prentice, A. M., and Poppitt, S. D. (1996). Importance of energy density and macronutrients in the regulation of energy intake. *International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders*, 20, S18–23.
53. Prentice, A. M. (1998). Manipulation of dietary fat and energy density and subsequent effects on substrate flux and food intake. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 67(3), 535S–541S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/67.3.535>
54. Rabast, U., Schönborn, J., and Kasper, H. (1979). Dietetic treatment of obesity with low and high-carbohydrate diets: comparative studies and clinical results. *International Journal of Obesity*, 3(3), 201–211.
55. Randle, P. J., Garland, P. B., Hales, C. N., and Newsholme, E. A. (1963). The glucose fatty-acid cycle its role in insulin sensitivity and the metabolic disturbances of diabetes mellitus. *The Lancet*, 281(7285), 785–789. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(63\)91500](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(63)91500)
56. Roberts, I., Kwan, I., Evans, P., and Haig, S. (2002). Does animal experimentation inform human healthcare? Observations from a systematic review of international animal experiments on fluid resuscitation. *BMJ*, 324(7335), 474–476. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.324.7335.47>
57. Robinson, K. A., and Dickersin, K. (2002). Development of a highly sensitive search strategy for the retrieval of reports of controlled trials using PubMed. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 31(1), 150–153. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/31.1.15>

58. Rolls, B. J., and Shide, D. J. (1994). *Dietary fat and the control of food intake*. In: Fernstrom, J.D., Miller, G.D., eds. *Appetite and body weight regulation: sugar, fat, and macronutrient substitutes*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, Inc, 167–177.
59. Ruth, M. R., Port, A. M., Shah, M., Bourland, A. C., Ist fan, N. W., Nelson, K. P., and Apovian, C. M. (2013). *Consuming a hypocaloric high fat low carbohydrate diet for 12 weeks lowers C-reactive protein, and raises serum adiponectin and high density lipoprotein-cholesterol in obese subjects*. *Metabolism*, 62(12), 1779–1787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2013.07.00>
60. Rutter, G. A., da Silva Xavier, G., and Leclerc, I. (2003). *Roles of 5'-AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) in mammalian glucose homeostasis*. *Biochemical Journal*, 375(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2003004>
61. Ryan, A. S., Berman, D. M., Nicklas, B. J., Sinha, M., Gingerich, R. L., Meneilly, G. S., and Elahi, D. (2003). *Plasma adiponectin and leptin levels, body composition, and glucose utilization in adult women with wide ranges of age and obesity*. *Diabetes Care*, 26(8), 2383–2388. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.26.8.238>
62. Samuels, L. T., Reinecke, R. M., and Ball, H. A. (1942). *Effect of diet on glucose tolerance and liver and muscle glycogen of hypophysectomized and normal rats*. *Endocrinology*, 31(1), 42–45. <https://doi.org/10.1210/endo-31-1-4>
63. Samuels, L. T., GILMOBE, R., and Reinecke, R. M. (1948). *The effect of previous diet on the ability of animals to do work during subsequent fasting*. *Journal of Nutrition*, 36, 639–651. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/36.5.63>
64. Sato, J., Kanazawa, A., Makita, S., Hatae, C., Komiya, K., Shimizu, T., and Goto, H. (2017). *A randomized controlled trial of 130 g/day low-carbohydrate diet in type 2 diabetes with poor glycemic control*. *Clinical Nutrition*, 36(4), 992–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2016.07.00>
65. Sinitskaya, N., Gourmelen, S., Schuster-Klein, C., Guardiola-Lemaitre, B., Pevet, P., and Challet, E. (2007). *Increasing the fat-to-carbohydrate ratio in a high-fat diet prevents the development of obesity but not a prediabetic state in rats*. *Clinical Science*, 113(10), 417–425. <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS2007018>
66. Stubbs, J., Ferres, S., and Horgan, G. (2000). *Energy density of foods: effects on energy intake*. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 40(6), 481–515. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1040869009118924>
67. Stubbs, R. J., and Whybrow, S. (2004). *Energy density, diet composition and palatability: influences on overall food energy intake in humans*. *Physiology and Behavior*, 81(5), 755–764. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.04.02>
68. Sumiyoshi, M., Sakanaka, M., and Kimura, Y. (2006). *Chronic intake of high-fat and high-sucrose diets differentially affects glucose intolerance in mice*. *Journal of Nutrition*, 136(3), 582–587. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/136.3.58>
69. Takahashi, M., Ikemoto, S., and Ezaki, O. (1999). *Effect of the fat/carbohydrate ratio in the diet on obesity and oral glucose tolerance in C57BL/6J mice*. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*, 45(5), 583–593. <https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.45.58>

70. Tay, J., Brinkworth, G. D., Noakes, M., Keogh, J., and Clifton, P. M. (2008). Metabolic effects of weight loss on a very-low-carbohydrate diet compared with an isocaloric high-carbohydrate diet in abdominally obese subjects. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 51(1), 59–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2007.08.05>
71. Torbay, N. A. J. I., Bracco, E. F., Geliebter, A., Stewart, I. M., and Hashim, S. A. (1985). Insulin increases body fat despite control of food intake and physical activity. *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, 248(1), R120–R124.
72. Valerio, A., Cardile, A., Cozzi, V., Bracale, R., Tedesco, L., Pisconti, A., and Carruba, M. O. (2006). TNF- α downregulates eNOS expression and mitochondrial biogenesis in fat and muscle of obese rodents. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 116(10), 2791–2798.
73. Vanderweele, D. A., Haraczkiwicz, E., and Van Itallie, T. B. (1982). Elevated insulin and satiety in obese and normal-weight rats. *Appetite*, 3(2), 99–109.
74. Vazquez, J.A., and Adibi, S.A. (1992). Protein sparing during treatment of obesity: ketogenic versus non ketogenic very low calorie diet. *Metabolism*, 41(4):406–414
75. Veech, R. L. (2004). The therapeutic implications of ketone bodies: the effects of ketone bodies in pathological conditions: ketosis, ketogenic diet, redox states, insulin resistance, and mitochondrial metabolism. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids*, 70(3), 309–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plefa.2003.09.00>
76. Vessby, B. (2000). Dietary fat and insulin action in humans. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 83(S1), S91–S96. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S000711450000101>
77. Volek, J. S., and Westman, E. C. (2002). Very-low-carbohydrate weight-loss diets revisited. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*, 69(11), 849–853. <https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.69.11.84>
78. Volek, J. S., Sharman, M. J., Gómez, A. L., DiPasquale, C., Roti, M., Pumerantz, A., and Kraemer, W. J. (2004). Comparison of a very low-carbohydrate and low-fat diet on fasting lipids, LDL subclasses, insulin resistance, and postprandial lipemic responses in overweight women. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 23(2), 177–184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.2004.1071935>
79. Volek, J. S., Fernandez, M. L., Feinman, R. D., and Phinney, S. D. (2008). Dietary carbohydrate restriction induces a unique metabolic state positively affecting atherogenic dyslipidemia, fatty acid partitioning, and metabolic syndrome. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 47(5), 307–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2008.02.00>
80. Volek, J. S., Phinney, S. D., Forsythe, C. E., Quann, E. E., Wood, R. J., Puglisi, M. J., and Feinman, R. D. (2009). Carbohydrate restriction has a more favorable impact on the metabolic syndrome than a low fat diet. *Lipids*, 44(4), 297–309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-008-3274>
81. Warwick, Z. S., Synowski, S. J., and Bell, K. R. (2002). Dietary fat content affects energy intake and weight gain independent of diet caloric density in rats. *Physiology and Behavior*, 77(1), 85–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384\(02\)00816](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9384(02)00816)

82. Watson, N., Dyer, K., Buckley, J., Brinkworth, G., Coates, A., Parfitt, G., and Murphy, K. (2016). Effects of low-fat diets differing in protein and carbohydrate content on cardiometabolic risk factors during weight loss and weight maintenance in obese adults with type 2 diabetes. *Nutrients*, 8(5), 289. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu805028>
83. Westerbacka, J., Lammi, K., Häkkinen, A. M., Rissanen, A., Salminen, I., Aro, A., and Yki-Järvinen, H. (2005). Dietary fat content modifies liver fat in overweight nondiabetic subjects. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 90(5), 2804–2809. <https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-198>
84. WHO (World Health Organization), (2015). *Global strategy on diet, physical activity and health. Obesity and Overweight*. Retrieved from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.
85. Yancy, W. S., Olsen, M. K., Guyton, J. R., Bakst, R. P., and Westman, E. C. (2004). A low-carbohydrate, ketogenic diet versus a low-fat diet to treat obesity and hyperlipidemia: a randomized, controlled trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 140(10), 769–777. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-140-10-200405180-0000>
86. Yang, M.U., and Van Itallie, T.B. (1976). Composition of weight lost during short-term weight reduction. metabolic responses of obese subjects to starvation and low-calorie ketogenic and non ketogenic diets. *Journal of Clinical Investigations*, 58(3):722–730.

AUTHOR PROFILE



Professor Mousa Numan Ahmad, Human Nutrition and Dietetics, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan. Professor Mousa Numan Ahmad specializes in Clinical Nutrition/ Cardiometabolism; is now a senior professor here at the Department of Nutrition and Food Technology/ Human Nutrition and Dietetics/ the University of Jordan; holds 1st class BSc/ the University of Jordan, MSc and PhD/ King's College London/ University of London; held Vice Dean of Scientific Research at the University of Jordan; acted Dean of College of Allied Medical Sciences at Applied Sciences Private University; chaired Department of Nutrition and Food Technology/ the University of Jordan; research interest is clinical nutrition and Cardiometabolism; teaches under and postgraduate courses: Human Nutrition, Clinical, Dietetics, Metabolism, and Biochemistry; supervised > 80 MSc and PhD students; participated in >175 postgraduate proficiency exam committees; published > 80 papers with >10 ongoing research projects; attended > 20 conferences; organized 2 international conferences and 2 workshops in human nutrition and dietetics in Jordan; awarded 2 sabbatical years; Editor-In-Chief: Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences and Editor-Member: International Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences; programmatic panelist and reviewer for Qatar National Research Fund and Qatar National Priorities Research Program, and Jordan Scientific Research Support Fund and Jordan Academic Program Accreditation Panel; member of Jordan Medical and Health Professions Licensing Panel/Human Nutrition and Dietetics Committee; member of several Academic Promotions and Appointments Committees for academicians and administratives in many universities in Jordan; member of several societies and committees in several ministries, governmental and nongovernmental organizations.



Bushra Omar Al-Badarein is a research scholar engaging now in a PhD program in Human Nutrition and Dietetics at the Department of Nutrition and Food Technology/ Human Nutrition and Dietetics/ The University of Jordan; the PhD research project is entitled: Effects of Ketogenic and High Fat Diets and Vitamin D on Body Weight, Insulin Resistance, Lipid Profile and Inflammatory Biomarkers in Dietary-Induced Obesity in Rats; Bushra Al-Badarein holds BSC/ Jordan Hashemite University in clinical nutrition and dietetics and MSc/ Jordan University of Science and Technology in human nutrition.

